WHITE PAPER

Keys to a Successful Food-Waste Digester Project

By William Franke and Benjamin Sheff

A clear grasp of the biological and engineering factors that determine system performance is essential for the design of systems that convert a manufacturing facility's organic waste products into usable energy and materials.

As communities look for cleaner, more circular ways to manage organic waste, building an effective food-waste digester facility starts with clearly understanding the process behind digester systems.

Anaerobic digestion can be utilized to transform food waste into renewable energy, with the added benefits of reducing reliance on landfills and enabling nutrient recovery. But the process is not as simple as loading a tank with food scraps. Feedstock variability, retention time, gas quality and site logistics all impact performance, making project-specific planning essential to building an efficient, reliable system.

Here are seven things to know before breaking ground on a food-waste digester facility.

1. Not all food wastes are created equal.

Food wastes are characterized by their total solids (TS) concentration, the dry matter remaining once moisture is removed from the feedstock. Equally important is the volatile solids (VS) concentration, which represents the energy-producing organic material within the TS. The proportions of TS and VS can vary significantly from one feedstock to the next. It must be understood that not all VS is considered to have the same value, and such determinations are made based on the anaerobic suite of organisms and bacteria that affect digestion.

Understanding the VS/TS ratio in a potential feedstock is critical because it impacts biogas production, process development and design. For example, excessively high VS content with highly digestible VS can overload a digester and destabilize the digestion process, if not accounted for in system design. Conversely, nondigestible or low VS content means less biodegradable organic matter, resulting in reduced biogas yield and potential underutilization of digesting capacity. The TS and the associated VS concentration influences digester sizing and mixing and the design of material handling systems.

The VS/TS ratio is just one of several characteristics that impact processing efficiency and energy yield. The TS, or its converse, along with the combination of moisture content, substrate composition, and ease of handling or pumpability affects overall system efficiency. For example, water in high-moisture substrates like beverage waste supports microbial activity and helps maintain flow. Too much water in this circumstance can dilute solids and reduce net energy returns. High-moisture substrates like fats, oils and grease (also known as FOG) offer greater energy potential and can be relatively easy to pump and mix if introduction to the tank is correctly taken into account.

Co-digestion, which involves the blending of several types of organic waste, can help balance digester operations. Food waste, for example, generally yields more biogas than dairy manure due to its rich organic content. But combining the two boosts overall energy production and stabilizes the process. Manure may also qualify projects for carbon credits or low carbon fuel (LCFS) programs that stand-alone food-waste projects can't access.

2. A BMP test can access the energy potential of feedstock alternatives.

Feedstock selection often depends on methane potential. In a biomethane potential (BMP) test, a feedstock is digested in a controlled digester, anaerobic, environment to assess the gas it will produce over a prescribed time. The test utilizes anaerobic bacteria and organisms that determine what VS can be consumed. These tests produce a BMP rate that expresses the amount of biogas produced per kilogram of VS fed into the digester. BMP is an indicator of a feedstock's potential energy.

By replacing assumptions with real data, a BMP test supports accurate energy projections and guides end-use planning. BMP results can also inform other key project decisions —from selecting and blending feedstocks for co-digestion and accurately sizing a digester, to negotiating supply contracts and building dependable financial models.

Another reason to consider feedstock options early in the planning process: Permits may restrict which materials can be co-digested. Establishing a suitable feedstock blend early in the process reduces the risk of design changes and cost overruns.

3. Pretreatment, handling and storage needs vary by waste.

Food waste comes in many forms, and some are more digester-friendly than others. Texture, acidity, stability, pumpability, contamination and odor potential all influence design, layout and equipment decisions.

For example, low-pH waste may require dosing tanks to adjust acidity prior to digestion to protect microbial activity and reduce operator safety risks. Wastes prone to rancidity may need built-in controls to prevent odors from escaping into the airspace.

Packaging can add another layer of complexity. Food waste may arrive in cans, plastic bags, or rigid containers that must be removed from the feedstock before digestion. These processes create additional trash and can reduce the energy potential of the feedstock. They may also introduce contaminants — like small metal shards or bits of glass or plastic — that can damage equipment or shut down the system if not properly screened.

Another critical factor is hydraulic retention time (HRT), the average amount of time a liquid stays inside a digester, reflecting how long the system must break down material before it flows out again. Sugar-rich waste like soda or beets digest within days, while fibrous materials like manure, pulp or certain vegetables require longer digestion, affecting tank size and loading rates. A good way to think of this is to imagine a loaf of bread: A dry or fully saturated loaf of bread has the same potential energy, but the saturated loaf brings water that needs to be heated and water that takes up space in the digester. The condition of the incoming substrate must be understood with regard to HRT.

Another essential consideration, storage, often is underestimated. A manufacturer's freezer failure or expired inventory may result in a sudden spike in volume. A well-designed system includes ample, odor-controlled storage and supplier agreements that define volume limits, delivery windows, and holding expectations.

4. Tipping fees should reward energy-rich, clean waste.

Tipping fees provide a valuable revenue stream and can even be a food-waste digester's primary source of income. Paid by the waste producer, these fees must be competitive with those charged by landfills, dairy or hog farms doing refeeding, wastewater treatment plants, or other potential waste offtakers. To attract feedstock, operators need a clear picture of how local waste is currently managed and how their digester can offer a cost-effective alternative.

Note that a flat-rate fee structure rarely reflects the full cost of processing different waste streams. Operators may benefit from a tiered approach that rewards clean, energy-rich streams and recovers the cost of managing hard-to-process materials. Beyond tonnage, factors such as volume, moisture and energy content, volatility, and packaging all affect a substrate's value. For example, feedstocks with high water content may be heavy but produce little usable energy. Operators should price waste streams that require extensive depackaging or pretreatment to reflect the time, labor, and equipment needed to process them. In some cases, depackaging costs alone can significantly affect project margins and feasibility.

The goal is to set tipping fees that account for the actual cost of processing. Charging appropriately for difficult-to-process waste makes it possible to offer favorable rates for clean, energy-dense material.

5. Digestate management should align with local markets and regulations.

The material entering a digester exits as digestate, a high-moisture, nutrient-rich byproduct commonly used as a soil amendment or a precursor for organic fertilizers. Market demand for digestate can be uncertain, and its use depends on the composition of the incoming waste. Project developers must evaluate local markets and regulations to determine whether beneficial reuse is allowed and financially practical.

Because hauling digestate off-site is costly, land application is typically only feasible near the production site. The presence of contaminants can also limit the ability to apply digestate to farmland. Regulators in certain areas ban land application outright. Microplastics and per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are of particular concern.

Depackaging processes that involve pulverizing, grinding, and shredding can introduce microplastics into the feedstock. Even when recovery systems achieve feedstock purity levels of 99% or more by weight, they do not fully remove microplastics, which often remain in the resulting digestate.

Several states have begun setting limits and requiring testing for PFAS in biosolids intended for land application or composting feedstocks. Digestor operators that co-digest waste-activated sludge from wastewater treatment plants (a common source of PFAS contamination) alongside food waste must stay current with evolving PFAS regulations. In some cases, states are also pursuing legal action against PFAS manufacturers to recover costs of environmental cleanup and remediation.

Even discharging digestate to a local wastewater treatment plant requires forethought. Total suspended solids (TSS), total dissolved solids (TDS), ammonia, and sodium concentrations in digestate can exceed sewer discharge limits. Most facilities cannot discharge digestate wastewater into a public sewer without additional treatment.

Treatment options range from targeted contaminant removal systems to full-scale minimal or zero-liquid discharge technologies. Choosing the right solution starts with understanding the chemistry of your digestate and wastewater, and the regulatory standards that apply. A robust digestate management plan accounts for volume, composition, end use, and permitting thresholds. Whether the goal is land application, composting, dewatering, or discharge, it's better to design and build that process in from the start.

6. Siting affects everything from project feasibility to public perception.

Digester site selection involves more than securing space for a tank and tipping pad. The right location can lower operating costs and minimize community concerns. Considerations include:

- **Utilities infrastructure**. A suitable site will offer access to three-phase power, potable water and natural gas.
- **Proximity to incoming feedstock and outgoing products**. Relying on feedstock hauled from long distances may not be economically sustainable over time, if ever. The same applies to offtakers, whether utilities, farms, or composting utilities. Locating your facility near reliable, long-term partners can reduce transportation costs and improve project viability.
- **Nuisance factors**. Odor monitoring and control, noise mitigation, and traffic management should be part of a siting strategy, not added in response to public opposition. Proper ventilation systems, combined with biofilters, scrubbers or other odor controls can help manage emissions and prevent odor complaints from neighbors. Providing adequate buffer zones between the facility and nearby residences can also reduce complaints, with publicly owned lands often being more favorable than privately owned parcels near homes.

7. Digesters are often misunderstood by regulators and the general public.

Municipalities and other regulatory bodies tasked with permitting digester projects are sometimes unfamiliar with the technology and may apply zoning or regulatory frameworks borrowed from other industries. Early engagement and education can help address gaps and facilitate a more informed review process.

Given their cross-sector footprint, digesters must comply with a complex web of permitting requirements, including site selection and zoning, environmental impact assessments, solid waste and wastewater regulations, health and safety reviews, and applicable state and federal rules.

Gaining public support can ease the process. Although digesters offer clear environmental and economic benefits, the public is often unaware of these advantages. Reaching out early to local officials is essential to show that refining methane is far preferable to allowing it to escape as fugitive emissions.

The Case for Early Planning

Designing a successful food-waste digester is as much about up-front planning as it is about execution. Each decision — from feedstock and site selection to permitting, pricing and strategy — affects what comes next. The most ideal systems for a food digester are rooted in a clear understanding of the materials, markets and constraints that must be managed.

While the promise of turning food waste into renewable energy is compelling, that potential will only be realized through rigorous, project-specific planning. The more that's accounted for on the front end, the fewer surprises will arise once operations begin.

About Burns & McDonnell

Burns & McDonnell is a family of companies bringing together an unmatched team of engineers, construction and craft professionals, architects, and more to design and build our critical infrastructure. With an integrated construction and design mindset, we offer full-service capabilities. Founded in 1898 and working from dozens of offices globally, Burns & McDonnell is 100% employee-owned. For more information, visit **burnsmcd.com**.

921270 1906-0226